JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d	locket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	THIS FORM.)	oor 1974, is required for the use of	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN	NTS	
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Rockland County, N (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			Ajit Oak and EAN Holdings LLC County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Norfolk County, MA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Jordan R. Lundy, Esquir 1635 Market Street, 19th 215-567-3000	e - Lundy Law LLP			ACT OF LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	II. CITIZENSHIP O	F PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government		(For Diversity Cases O.	nly) PTF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item 111)	Citizen of Another State	X 2 X 2 Incorporated and 3 of Business In .	
Management of the Control of the Con			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FORESTER PARTY OF		of Suit Code Descriptions.
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane 315 Airplane 700 70	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Ashestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 7385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	FORFEITURE/PENALT 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 690 Other Tabor 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1MMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Applica 465 Other Immigration Actions 465 Other Immigra	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
X 1 Original □ 2 Rea	To Note the U.S. Civil State 28 USC Section 1 Brief description of ca	Appellate Court tute under which you are f 1332 use:		statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	C(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 03/04/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	A.T. 1704P44	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD		Western Co.
	10UNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	E MAG. JUD	GE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
215-567-3000	215-567-2700	jrlundy@lundylaw.com	
3/4/2019 Date	– J ordan R. Lundy Attorney-at-law	Attorney for Plaint	tiff
(f) Standard Managemen	t – Cases that do not fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(x)
commonly referred to	- Cases that do not fall into trac as complex and that need spec e side of this form for a detailed	ial or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	olving claims for personal injur	y or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases re	equired to be designated for arb	itration under Local Civil Rule 53.2	. ()
	es requesting review of a decisi denying plaintiff Social Securit		()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Case	es brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and so side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other	Case Management Track Designeries a copy on all defendants. (Since event that a defendant does not shall, with its first appearance.)	Reduction Plan of this court, courgnation Form in all civil cases at the See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the not agree with the plaintiff regardie, submit to the clerk of court and stack Designation Form specifying the gned.	time of reverse ng said erve on
jit Oak nd	:	NO.	
V.	:		
ebora Assis		CIVIL ACTION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM
(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the the nurnose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff:40	o marcare the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to 08 Sierra Vista Lane, Valley Cottage, N	Y 10989
Tradition of Francisco	Braintree, MA 02184 and 4509 N. Brady S	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		
Case Number:	Judge: Date T	erminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to	o any of the following questions:	
Is this case related to property included in an earli- previously terminated action in this court?	ier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes	No
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grapending or within one year previously terminated		No No
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringemen numbered case pending or within one year previous		No No
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, case filed by the same individual?	social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes	No No
this court except as noted above.	/ is not related to any case now pending or within on	•
DATE: 03/04/2019	Attorney-at-Law Pro Se Plaintiff	317122 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)
CIVIL: (Place a \(\sqrt{in one category only} \)		
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:	-
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al 2. FELA 	Il Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury	
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al 2. FELA 	Il Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury	
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al 2. FELA 	1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal I	Injury
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 	1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Plant) 7. Products Liability	Injury ease specify):
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus	1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal I 6. Other Personal Injury (Plant) 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbe	Injury ease specify):estos
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al 2. FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases	1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Plant) 7. Products Liability	Injury ease specify):estos
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases	1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal I 6. Other Personal Injury (Plate 1) 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbert 19. All other Diversity Cases	Injury ease specify):estos
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal I 6. Other Personal Injury (Plate 1) 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbert 19. All other Diversity Cases	Injury ease specify):estos
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal I 6. Other Personal Injury (Plate 1) 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbert 19. All other Diversity Cases	Injury ease specify):estos
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of	1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability — Asbe 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION	Injury ease specify):estos
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of	Il Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and C 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability — Asbe 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION f this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitre unsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages re-	Injury lease specify): estos ation.)
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and Al. 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of Case) Jordan R. Lundy Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2),	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitrous finterest and costs: ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitrous control of the cost of my knowledge and belief, the damages reinterest and costs:	Injury lease specify): estos ation.)
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All 2. FELA 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of the contract	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitrous finterest and costs: ARBITRATION certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitrous control of the cost of my knowledge and belief, the damages reinterest and costs:	Injury lease specify): estos ation.)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEBORA ASSIS : 408 Sierra Vista Lane :

Valley Cottage, NY 10989 : CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

:

Plaintiff

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

: DOCKET NO.:

AJIT OAK

802 Matthew Court

Braintree, MA 02184

EAN HOLDINGS LLC 4509 N Brady Street Davenport, IA 52806

:

Defendants

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Deborah Assis, herein files this Complaint against defendants, Ajit Oak and EAN Holdings LLC, and in support thereof, avers as follows:

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1. On or about February 17, 2018, at approximately 5:49 p.m., plaintiff Debora Assis was a pedestrian on the shoulder of Interstate 78 at or near mile post 041 in Berks County, Pennsylvania, when defendants, Ajit Oak and EAN Holdings LLC unreasonably, improperly and/or unsafely veered off the highway to the shoulder coming into contact with the pedestrian plaintiff and causing plaintiff to suffer severe and permanent injuries hereinafter more fully set forth.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff, Debora Assis, is a citizen of the State of New York who is an adult individual residing at 408 Sierra Vista Lane, Valley Cottage, NY 10989.

- 3. Defendant, Ajit Oak, is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts who is an adult individual residing at 802 Matthew Court, Apt. 209, Braintree, MA 02181.
- 4. Defendant, EAN Holdings LLC, is a Delaware Corporation with a business address at 4509 North Brady Street, Davenport, IA 52806.

VENUE/JURISDICTION

5. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as plaintiff is a citizen of the State of New York, defendant Ajit Oak is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, defendant EAN Holdings LLC, has a business address in the State of Iowa, and the incident occurred in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Further, the matter in controversy exceeds, exclusive of interest and costs, the sum of \$75,000.

<u>COUNT I</u> Plaintiff, Debora Assis vs. Defendant, Ajit Oak

- 6. Plaintiff, Debora Assis, incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the above paragraphs as though the same were herein set forth fully at length.
- 7. The carelessness and negligence of defendant, Ajit Oak, consisted, *inter alia*, of the following:
 - a. making an improper exit from the highway;
 - b. causing and/or permitting their motor vehicle to come into contact with the plaintiff herein;
 - c. failing to properly keep, control and maintain the motor vehicle so as to prevent the accident herein;
 - d. failing to operate the motor vehicle in a manner and at a speed that was reasonable and proper under the prevailing traffic weather, and pedestrian

- conditions;
- e. operating the motor vehicle in such a manner that the defendants knew or should have known of the danger created to pedestrians in the area;
- f. operating the motor vehicle in such a manner so as to create traffic conditions which were dangerous to pedestrians;
- g. failing to yield the right-of-way to pedestrian;
- h. allowing and/or permitting the motor vehicle to be operated at an excessive rate of speed; in failing to keep the motor vehicle under proper control;
- failing to properly operate the braking and acceleration devices of the motor vehicle under the circumstances of the roadway where the accident occurred;
- j. failing to use any warning devices;
- k. failing to properly keep and maintain the motor vehicle so as to prevent the contingency which occurred;
- l. failing to keep a proper lookout;
- m. failing to stop and/or slow down;
- n. violating the rights of this pedestrian and the rules of the road:
- o. acting with reckless disregard for the safety of others;
- p. failing to properly apply brakes;
- q. failing to sound horn; and
- r. in negligently veering off the aforesaid highway and entering the path of the pedestrian plaintiff herein.

- 8. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of defendant, Ajit Oak, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has suffered severe and permanent injuries to her body which include, but are not limited to traumatic brain injury, subdural hematoma, deep head laceration with scarring and disfigurement; brain surgery with scarring and disfigurement, loss of sense of smell and taste, sensitivity to light and sound, and neck pain. Plaintiff, Debora Assis, suffered internal injuries of an unknown nature, she suffered severe aches, pains, mental anxiety and anguish and a severe shock to his entire nervous system and other injuries the full extent of which are not yet known. Plaintiff, Debora Assis, sustained an aggravation and/or exacerbation of injuries both known and unknown. She has in the past and will in the future undergo severe pain and has been unable to attend to her usual duties and occupation, all to her great financial detriment and loss.
- 9. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of defendant, Ajit Oak, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has been compelled to expend money for medicine and medical attention and may be required to expend additional sums for the same purpose in the future.
- 10. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of defendant, Ajit Oak, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has been prevented from attending to her usual daily activities and duties, and may be so prevented for an indefinite period of time in the future, all to her great detriment and loss.
- 11. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of defendant, Ajit Oak, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has suffered physical pain and mental anguish and humiliation and may continue to suffer same for an indefinite period of time in the future.
- 12. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of defendant, Ajit Oak, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has incurred in the past and will continue to incur in the future additional financial expenses or losses which she is entitled to recover.

- 13. As a direct and proximate result of aforesaid negligence of defendant, Ajit Oak, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has suffered loss of wages and earning capacity.
- 14. WHEREFORE, plaintiff, Debora Assis, demands judgment in her favor and against defendants in a sum in excess of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00) plus costs, delay damages, pre and post judgment interest, attorney fees and all other relief provided by law.

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>Plaintiff, Debora Assis vs. Defendant, EAN Holdings LLC</u>

- 15. Plaintiff, Debora Assis, incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the above paragraphs as though the same were herein set forth fully at length.
- 16. The carelessness and negligence of defendant, EAN Holdings LLC, consisted, *inter alia*, of the following:
 - a. making an improper exit from the highway;
 - b. causing and/or permitting their motor vehicle to come into contact with the plaintiff herein;
 - c. failing to properly keep, control and maintain the motor vehicle so as to prevent the accident herein;
 - d. failing to operate the motor vehicle in a manner and at a speed that was reasonable and proper under the prevailing traffic, weather, and pedestrian conditions;
 - e. operating the motor vehicle in such a manner that the defendants knew or should have known of the danger created to pedestrians in the area;
 - f. operating the motor vehicle in such a manner so as to create traffic conditions which were dangerous to pedestrians;
 - g. failing to yield the right-of-way to pedestrian:

- h. allowing and/or permitting the motor vehicle to be operated at an excessive rate of speed; in failing to keep the motor vehicle under proper control;
- failing to properly operate the braking and acceleration devices of the motor vehicle under the circumstances of the roadway where the accident occurred;
- j. failing to use any warning devices;
- k. failing to properly keep and maintain the motor vehicle so as to prevent the contingency which occurred;
- 1. failing to keep a proper lookout;
- m. failing to stop and/or slow down;
- n. violating the rights of this pedestrian and the rules of the road;
- o. acting with reckless disregard for the safety of others;
- p. failing to properly apply brakes;
- q. failing to sound horn; and
- r. in negligently veering off the aforesaid highway and entering the path of the pedestrian plaintiff herein.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of defendant, EAN Holdings LLC, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has suffered severe and permanent injuries to her body which include, but are not limited to traumatic brain injury, subdural hematoma, deep head laceration with scarring and disfigurement; brain surgery with scarring and disfigurement, loss of sense of smell and taste, sensitivity to light and sound, and neck pain. Plaintiff, Debora Assis, suffered internal injuries of an unknown nature, she suffered severe aches, pains, mental anxiety and anguish and a severe shock to her entire nervous system and other injuries the full extent of

which are not yet known. Plaintiff, Debora Assis, sustained an aggravation and/or exacerbation of injuries both known and unknown. She has in the past and will in the future undergo severe pain and has been unable to attend to her usual duties and occupation, all to her great financial detriment and loss.

- 18. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of defendant, EAN Holdings LLC, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has been compelled to expend money for medicine and medical attention and may be required to expend additional sums for the same purpose in the future.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of defendant, EAN Holdings LLC, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has been prevented from attending to her usual daily activities and duties, and may be so prevented for an indefinite period of time in the future, all to her great detriment and loss.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of defendant, EAN Holdings LLC, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has suffered physical pain and mental anguish and humiliation and may continue to suffer same for an indefinite period of time in the future.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence of defendant, EAN Holdings LLC, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has incurred in the past and will continue to incur in the future additional financial expenses or losses which she is entitled to recover.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of aforesaid negligence of defendant, EAN Holdings, LLC, plaintiff, Debora Assis, has suffered loss of wages and earning capacity.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, Debora Assis, demands judgment in her favor and against defendants in a sum in excess of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00) plus costs, delay damages, pre and post judgment interest, attorney fees and all other relief provided by law.

Date: 3/4/2019

FINKELSTERY PARTNERS, LLP

BY:

KENNETH FROMSON, ESQ.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Date: 3 (4(2019

LUNDY LAW

BY:

JOBDAN R. LUNDY, ESQ.

Attorney for Plaintiff